## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Puerto Rico		
United States of America v.  [3] JOSE NICOLAS AMAYA-RAMIREZ  Defendant	) ) Case No. 22-cr-435 (RAM) )	
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
Part I - Eligibi	lity for Detention	
Upon the		
Motion of the Government attorney pursuan  ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i),	notion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2), is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact	
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law	v as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)	
and the community because the following conditions I  (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum to (b) an offense for which the maximum see (c) an offense for which a maximum term Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 co (d) any felony if such person has been co (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971).	itions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person have been met: llowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. erm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or	
jurisdiction had existed, or a combination  (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crim  (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a  (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv)  (2) the defendant has previously been convicte  § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and  (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above	of such offenses; or	

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

<b>☑B.</b> Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☑C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
<ul> <li>✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong</li> <li>✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted</li> <li>☐ Prior criminal history</li> <li>☐ Portioination in original activity while on probation, parallely an appropriate.</li> </ul>
<ul><li>☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision</li><li>☐ History of violence or use of weapons</li></ul>
History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties

AO 472 (Rev. 11/1	6) Order of Detention Pending	Trial
<ul><li>☐ Lack</li><li>☐ Subjet</li><li>☐ Prior</li><li>☐ Use o</li><li>☐ Back</li></ul>	of legal status in the Usect to removal or deportable failure to appear in contact attempt(s) to evade law of alias(es) or false document of alias(es) or f	rtation after serving any period of incarceration urt as ordered w enforcement cuments
OTHER REAS	SONS OR FURTHER I	EXPLANATION:
		Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
for confinement being held in co with defense co person in charge	nt in a corrections facil custody pending appeal counsel. On order of	stody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative ity separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences of the defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the acility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of art proceeding.
Date:	01/08/2025	s/ Héctor L. Ramos-Vega

United States Magistrate Judge